41 CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND KEY SOURCES OF ESTIMATION UNCERTAINTY

The Group’s accounting policies are set out in note 1 to these financial statements. Management is required to exercise significant judgement in the application of these policies. Areas which management believe require the most critical accounting judgements are as follows (apart from those policies involving estimation which are outlined in (b) below).

a) Critical accounting judgements in applying the Group’s accounting policies

Cash flow hedge accounting
The Group enters into various types of hedging or forward contracts for the buying and selling of commodities: principally sales of electricity and the purchase of fuel for its own power plants. In merchant markets these contracts typically fall within the definition of derivative financial instruments and accordingly have to be marked to market. Accounting for these contracts as cash flow hedges allows, to the extent the hedge is effective, the changes in value of the derivatives to be deferred in equity. In order to achieve cash flow hedge accounting it is necessary for the Group to determine, on an ongoing basis, whether a forecast transaction is both highly probable and whether the hedge is effective. This requires both subjective and objective measures of determination.

Income recognition from long-term PPAs
When power plants sell their output under long-term PPAs it is usual for the power plant owning company to receive payment (known as a ‘capacity payment’) for the provision of electrical capacity whether or not the offtaker requests electrical output. In these situations, where there is a long-term contract to purchase electricity output and electrical capacity, it is necessary for the Group to evaluate the contractual arrangements and determine whether they constitute a form of lease or a service contract.

For those arrangements determined to be or to contain leases, further judgements are required to determine whether the arrangement is a finance or operating lease. This assessment requires an evaluation of where the substantial risks and rewards of ownership reside. For finance leases it is necessary to calculate the proportion of total capacity payments which should be treated as finance income, capital repayment and as a fee for service provision. For operating leases it is necessary to determine the allocation of total capacity payments between rental payments and fees for service provision.

Evaluation of levels of control and influence
The determination of the level of influence the Group has over a business is often a mix of contractually defined and subjective factors that can be critical to the appropriate accounting treatment of entities in the consolidated financial statements. We achieve control or influence through Board representation and by obtaining rights of veto over significant actions. We generally treat investments where the Group holds less than 20% of the equity as investments available for sale. These investments available for sale are carried at market value where market prices are available. Where quoted market prices in an active market are not available, and where fair value cannot be reliably measured, unquoted equity instruments are measured at cost.

Where the Group owns between 20% and 50% of the equity of an entity and is in a position to exercise significant influence over the entity’s operating and financial policies, we treat the entity as a joint venture or an associate. Equally, where the Group holds a substantial interest (but less than 20%) in an entity and has the power to exert significant influence over its operations, we treat it as a joint venture or an associate. This treatment is applied to our interest in The Hub Power Company in Pakistan of which we own 17% (refer note 40). Where the Group has the power to control the operations of an entity, and it has less than 50% of the equity, we treat the entity as a subsidiary when required.

Exceptional items
The Directors consider that items of income or expense which are material by virtue of their nature and amount should be disclosed separately if the financial statements are to fairly present the financial position and financial performance of the entity. The Directors label these items collectively as ‘exceptional items’.

Determining which transactions are to be considered exceptional in nature is often a subjective matter. However, circumstances that the Directors believe would give rise to exceptional items for separate disclosure would include:

(i) disposals of investments;
(ii) discontinued operations;
(iii) impairments and impairment reversals.

All exceptional items are included on the appropriate income statement line item to which they relate. In addition, for clarity, separate disclosure is made of all items in one column on the face of the income statement.

Taxation
The level of current and deferred tax recognised is dependent on subjective judgement as to the outcome of decisions to be made by the tax authorities in the various tax jurisdictions around the world in which International Power operates. It is necessary to consider the extent to which deferred tax assets should be recognised based on an assessment of the extent to which they are regarded as recoverable.
b) Key sources of estimation uncertainty

**Useful economic lives of property, plant and equipment**
The original cost of greenfield developed power plant and other assets includes relevant borrowings and development costs:
(i) Interest on borrowings relating to major capital projects with long periods of development is capitalised during construction and then amortised over the useful life of the asset;
(ii) Project development costs (including appropriate direct internal costs) are capitalised when it is virtually certain that the contract will proceed to completion and income will be realised.

Depreciation of plant and other assets is charged so as to write down the value of those assets to their residual value over their respective estimated useful lives. The Directors are required to assess the useful economic lives and residual values of the assets so that depreciation is charged on a systematic and proportionate basis to the current carrying amount. It is Group policy to depreciate gas plant over 30 years to a 10% residual value, unless the circumstances of the project or life of specific components indicate a shorter period or a lower residual value. Coal plants, hydro plants and wind farms are considered on an individual basis.

**Fair values of energy derivatives**
The Group has presented its financial statements in accordance with the requirements of IAS 32 “Financial Instruments: Presentation and Disclosure” and IAS 39 “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement”. In accordance with IAS 39, the Group records its derivative contracts on its balance sheet at fair value (unless they qualify for the ‘own use’ exemption). Changes in the value of its derivative contracts in each period are recorded in earnings unless strict hedge accounting criteria are met which allow the movement in fair value to be recorded within equity. The Group estimates the fair value of its energy derivative contracts by reference to forward price curves. A forward price curve represents the Group’s view as to the prices at which customers would currently contract for delivery or settlement of commodities, such as power or gas, at future dates. Generally the forward price curve is derived from published price quotations in an active market, over the short-term horizon period, and from valuation techniques over the more distant horizon period. The assumptions used during the application of valuation techniques will directly impact the shape of the forward price curve. The forward price curves are only estimates of future prices and thus possess inherent uncertainty and subjectivity.

**Fair values on acquisition**
The Group is required to bring acquired assets and liabilities on to the Group balance sheet at their fair value. Power plant and equipment usually have long operating lives, and are often bought with associated long-term contracts such as PPAs. Hence determination of the fair values of these long-life assets and contracts can require a significant amount of judgement.

**Impairment analysis**
Management regularly considers whether there are any indications of impairment to the carrying amounts of its power plants and other long life assets. This includes a review of market conditions in both the short-term and long-term. Impairment reviews are generally based on pre-tax risk adjusted discounted cash flow projections that require estimates of discount rates and future market prices over the remaining lives of the assets. We benchmark the results of this testing against post-tax risk adjusted cash flows, discounted on a post-tax basis. At each balance sheet date, consideration is also given as to whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods has reversed. During the year the Group reversed the impairment of its Deeside plant in the UK (refer note 14).

**Provisions**
Within the Group there are a number of long-term provisions. The carrying amount of these provisions is estimated based on assumptions about such items as the risk adjustment to cash flows or discount rates used, future changes in prices and estimates of costs. For example, the pensions liability is based on assumptions relating to discount rates used, future changes in salaries and future changes in prices affecting other costs. A change in estimates could have a material impact on the carrying amount of these provisions.